

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/792,161	JOHNSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Howard E. Abramowitz	1762	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Howard E. Abramowitz</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Andrew Oltmans</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>29 June 2006</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1-8 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
<i>The discussion was regarding the cancellation of claims 1-8 and 12 as they are drawn to a non-elected invention and the elected claims are in condition for allowance.</i>		
Part III.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<hr/> (Examiner/SPE Signature)		<hr/> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)